

MARIO N. ALIOTO (56433)
malieto@tatp.com
LAUREN C. CAPURRO (241151)
lauren russell@tatp.com
TRUMP, ALIOTO, TRUMP & PRESCOTT, LLP
2280 Union Street
San Francisco, CA 94123
Telephone: (415) 563-7200
Facsimile: (415) 346-0679

Stuart H. Singer
BOIES, SCHILLER, & FLEXNER LLP
401 East Las Olas Boulevard, Suite 1200
Fort Lauderdale, Florida 33301
Telephone: (954) 356-0011
Facsimile: (954) 356-0022
Email: ssinger@bsflp.com

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

IN RE CATHODE RAY TUBE (CRT)
ANTITRUST LITIGATION

Master File No. 3:07-md-05944-SC

MDL No. 1917

This Document Relates To:

INDIRECT PURCHASER ACTIONS

Interbond Corporation of America v.
Technicolor SA, et al., No. 13-cv-05727;

Interbond Corporation of America v. Hitachi,
Ltd. et al., No. 3:11-cv-06275;

Office Depot, Inc. v. Technicolor SA, et al.,
No. 13-cv-05726;

Office Depot, Inc. v. Hitachi, Ltd. et al.,
No. 3:11-cv-06276;

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING
PLAINTIFFS' ADMINISTRATIVE
MOTION TO SEAL PLAINTIFFS'
RESPONSE IN OPPOSITION TO
DEFENDANTS' NOTICE OF MOTION
AND MOTION FOR PARTIAL
SUMMARY JUDGMENT AS TO
INDIRECT PURCHASER PLAINTIFFS'
AND CERTAIN DIRECT ACTION
PLAINTIFFS' STATE LAW CLAIMS ON
STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS GROUNDS**

1 On December 23, 2014, Plaintiffs Office Depot, Inc., Interbond Corporation of America
2 (“BrandsMart”), and the Indirect Purchaser Plaintiffs (collectively “Plaintiffs”) filed an
3 Administrative Motion to Seal Plaintiffs’ Response in Opposition to Defendants’ Notice of
4 Motion and Motion for Partial Summary Judgment as to Indirect Purchaser Plaintiffs’ and
5 Certain Direct Action Plaintiffs’ State Law Claims on Statute of Limitations Grounds.

6 Having read and considered the papers filed and arguments made by counsel, and good
7 cause appearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Plaintiffs’ Administrative Motion to Seal
8 Plaintiffs’ Response in Opposition to Defendants’ Notice of Motion and Motion for Partial
9 Summary Judgment as to Indirect Purchaser Plaintiffs’ and Certain Direct Action Plaintiffs’
10 State Law Claims on Statute of Limitations Grounds is GRANTED.

11
12 **IT IS SO ORDERED**

13
14 Dated: _____

15 Hon. Samuel Conti
16 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28